

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**SNYDER COMPUTER SUSTEMS, INC.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**RAY LAHOOD, *et al.*,**

**Defendants.**

**Case No. 2:10-CV-00161**

**JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.**

**Magistrate Judge Mark R. Abel**

**ORDER**

The Court hereby **CLARIFIES**, as requested in Plaintiff's Notice of Settlement and Unopposed Motion for Indicative Ruling, that the November 9, 2011 Opinion and Order was in no way intended, and should not be construed, as a finding on whether the filing of judicial forfeiture by the United States in the Western District of Washington was sufficiently timely to comply with due process obligations.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12-4-2012  
**DATE**

  
**EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**